

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/782,721	SHEPARD ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Lawrence E. Crane	1623	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Lawrence E. Crane. (3) _____.

(2) Antoinette F. Konski. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All remaining of record

Claims discussed:

All remaining of record

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was advised by e-mail of a proposed examiner's amendment concerning needed changes to make the case allowable. Applicant responded that the amendment was acceptable. Applicant was informed that the case was allowable as amended.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/
 Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)